arXiv:1511.06520v2 [math.PR] 23 Sep 2016

The Euler method for continuous-time nonlinear filtering and stable convergence of conditional law

Teppei Ogihara* and Hideyuki Tanaka†

September 26, 2016

Abstract

We deduce the asymptotic error distribution of the Euler method for the nonlinear filtering problem with continuous-time observations. Previous works by several authors have shown that the error structure of the method is characterized by conditional expectations of some functionals of multiple stochastic integrals. Our main result is a proof of the stable convergence of a sequence of such conditional expectations, using the technique of martingale limit theorems in the spirit of Jacod.

Keywords: nonlinear filtering; Euler method; stable convergence; martingale limit theorem

Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): 60G35, 93E11, 60F05, 65C20

1 Introduction

The functional central limit theorem for sequences of stochastic processes on path spaces has been developed along with many applications. In the semimartingale setting, Jacod [7] established some useful martingale limit theorems with mixed normal limits. These theorems have enabled the study of statistical inference for high-frequency observations in a fixed time interval. From among many studies, we refer readers to Gobet [6] for diffusion processes with equi-distance observations, to Jacod et al. [8] for pre-averaged estimators for volatility with noisy observations, and to Ogihara and Yoshida [15] for diffusion processes with nonsynchronous observations. With these models of diffusion, the martingale limit theorems in Jacod [7] are useful to deduce the asymptotic distributions. The theorems can be applied to statistical inference and also to other problems related to semimartingales. We apply the theorems to the Euler method for the nonlinear filtering problem.

The aim in this paper is to determine the asymptotic distribution of conditional expectations of some (Wiener) functionals and the application of the distribution to discrete-time approximation for nonlinear filtering with continuous-time observations. The key result is that, roughly speaking, for suitable (possibly multi-dimensional) F, $(\theta_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$, and a Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$,

$$\sqrt{n}E\Big[F\int_0^1\int_{[sn]/n}^s \theta_r dW_r dW_s\Big|(W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}\Big] \tag{1}$$

converges stably in law to a mixed normal random variable; see Definition 2.1 and the precise formulation in Theorem 3.1. Establishing this is non-trivial in the field of martingale limit theorem. A result in Jacod and Protter [9] gives the stable limit of $\sqrt{n}\int_0^1\int_{[sn]/n}^s \theta_r dW_r dW_s$. However, since interchange of the stable limit and conditional expectation operations is not allowed in some cases, the result is not sufficient to

*The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Tokyo, Japan. mail: ogihara@ism.ac.jp
†Ritsumeikan University, Shiga, Japan. mail: hideyuki.tanaka83@gmail.com

show the limit theorem for (1). We will prove the desired limit theorem by using some approximation techniques including the method of proof from [9].

Our goal in the rest of this paper is to deduce the asymptotic error distribution of the Euler method for nonlinear filtering (described in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2). The objective of filtering theory is estimation of an unobservable process $X = (X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ under the observation of $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$. In this, we assume that Y solves the following stochastic differential equation driven by a Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$:

$$Y_t = \int_0^t h(X_s, Y_s)ds + W_t.$$

For application in many fields, we want to know the conditional distribution of X_T given the σ-field generated by $(Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ (see, e.g., [2], [12], [13]). We will assume later that X is a stochastic process depending on Y and another noise $B = (B_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$. We assume, in particular, that X solves a stochastic differential equation driven by $(B_t, Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ and whose coefficients depend on X_t and Y_t. To obtain an approximate value of the conditional expectation $\pi_1(g) := E[g(X_T)|(Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}]$, an approximation $\bar{\pi}^n_T(g)$ constructed by the Euler method (with the regular partition) can be used. L^p-error bounds for $\pi_T(g) - \bar{\pi}^n_T(g)$ were obtained in several papers. In our treatment, we do not consider the behaviour of the error as $T \uparrow +\infty$. From here, we fix $T = 1$. In general, one can obtain the rate of convergence as follows (see Proposition 4.8):

$$\|\pi_1(g) - \bar{\pi}^n_1(g)\|_p \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{2}$$

In specific cases, the rate of convergence is known to be $1/n$ as $n \to \infty$; see, for example, Picard [16], Talay [17], and Milstein and Tretyakov [14]. That is,

$$\|\pi_1(g) - \bar{\pi}^n_1(g)\|_p \le \frac{C}{n}. \tag{3}$$

We are interested in the asymptotic distribution of the error $\pi_1(g) - \bar{\pi}^n_1(g)$. Our objective is to apply the limit theorem for (1) to characterization of the asymptotic error distribution of the form

$$\sqrt{n}(\pi_1(g) - \bar{\pi}^n_1(g)). \tag{4}$$

Of course, this value converges to zero when the estimate (3) is satisfied. However, in general, this converges to a non-zero random variable in the sense of stable convergence in law. In fact, if $\bar{\pi}^n_1(g)$ is given by the Euler-type approximation shown in Section 4, then the sequence (4) converges stably in law toward a mixed normal distribution whose conditional variance can be explicitly written. The use of stable convergence is as follows. The error can be decomposed, in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, as

$$\sqrt{n}(\pi_1(g) - \bar{\pi}^n_1(g)) = a_n Z_n + a'_n Z'_n$$

where the random variables a_n, a'_n, Z_n and Z'_n satisfy the following conditions.

(A) Both Z_n and Z'_n can be represented by conditional expectations of some functionals (such as (1)). Additionally, (Z_n, Z'_n) converges stably in law to some random variable (Z_∞, Z'_∞).

(B) $a_n \to a_\infty$ and $a'_n \to a'_\infty$ in probability for some random variables a_∞ and a'_∞.

When these hold, $a_n Z_n + a'_n Z'_n$ still converges stably in law, to $a_\infty Z_\infty + a'_\infty Z'_\infty$. Note that if the convergence in (A) is weaker, such as convergence in law, then the limit distribution of $a_n Z_n + a'_n Z'_n$ is no longer obtained. The requirement of stable convergence allows us to apply a change of measure to get the desired limit. For completing the proofs, the most important consideration is how the limit theorem for (1) can be applied to the results of type (A). For our purpose, we apply several techniques from Jacod and Protter [9] and Clement et al. [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief review of stable convergence and its fundamental properties. Section 3 contains the statements and proofs of our main results on stable convergence of conditional law. The main tool of the derivation is the set of martingale limit theorems in [7]. In Section 4, an application of these limit theorems to the Euler method for continuous-time nonlinear filtering is discussed. We rely on the "change of measure" approach (via Girsanov's theorem) in order to construct numerical schemes. First, we deal with a simple case in which the Euler method is applied to only Girsanov's density. Second, we tackle a more complicated problem with the Euler method for both $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ and Girsanov's density.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Stable convergence in law

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space and E be a metric space. The space $b\mathcal{G}$ is the set of all bounded $\mathcal{G}$-measurable real-valued functions for a given sub σ-field $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Let us consider E-valued random variables $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ and X. We denote several types of convergence by the following notation.

(i) $X_n \to^P X$: Convergence in probability (under the probability measure P).

(ii) $X_n = o_P(1)$ is defined as $X_n \to^P 0$.

(iii) $X_n \Longrightarrow^{\mathcal{L}} X$: Convergence in law (weak convergence).

(iv) $X_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{G}} X$: $\mathcal{G}$-stable convergence in law with $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

We now precisely define $\mathcal{G}$-stable convergence in law.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a sub σ-field of $\mathcal{F}$. For E-valued random variables $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, we say $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges $\mathcal{G}$-stably to X (i.e., $X_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{G}} X$) if X is an E-valued random variable on an extension $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{P})$ of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[f(X_n)Y] = \hat{E}[f(X)Y] \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(E), Y \in b\mathcal{G}. \tag{5}$$

The extension probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{P})$ is assumed such that $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \times (\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$ and $\hat{P}(A \times \Omega_0) = P(A), A \in \mathcal{F}$ for some measurable space $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$. See Aldous and Eagleson [1] or Jacod and Shiryaev [10] for fundamental properties of stable convergence. We state some well-known facts that we will use.

- If $X_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} X$ and $Y_n \to^P Y$, then $(X_n, Y_n) \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} (X, Y)$.
- Let X be defined on the original space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Then $X_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} X$ if and only if $X_n \to^P X$.
- Recall that the limit (5) still holds for any $Y \in L^1(\mathcal{G})$. This yields that $\mathcal{F}$-stable convergence holds for any absolutely continuous measure Q with respect to P. In fact, we can take an extension $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{Q})$ with $d\hat{Q} = \frac{dQ}{dP} d\hat{P}$.
- A version of the Portmanteau Theorem (e.g., [10, Proposition 5.33], [3]) below holds.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix an extended probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{P})$ and a sub σ-field $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[f(X_n)Y] = \hat{E}[f(X)Y]$ *for all $f \in C_b(E)$ and $Y \in b\mathcal{G}$.*

(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[f(X_n)Y] = \hat{E}[f(X)Y]$ *for all bounded uniformly continuous functions f and $Y \in b\mathcal{G}$.*

(3) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} E[1_{X_n\in F}Y] \le \hat{E}[1_{X\in F}Y]$ *for all closed sets F and $Y \in b\mathcal{G}$ with $Y \ge 0$.*

(4) $\hat{E}[1_{X\in G}Y] \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} E[1_{X_n\in G}Y]$ *for all open sets* G *and* $Y \in b\mathcal{G}$ *with* $Y \ge 0$.

We prove here an auxiliary lemma applied to the limit theorems in the next section.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\mathcal{G}$ *be a sub* σ*-field of* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ *be* E*-valued* $\mathcal{F}$*-measurable random variables. Assume that there exists an extension* $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{P})$ *of the original probability space,* E*-valued* $\mathcal{F}$*-measurable random variables* $(X_n^m)_{n,m\in\mathbf{N}}$*, and* E*-valued* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$*-measurable random variables* $(X^m)_{m\in\mathbf{N}}, X$ *such that the following conditions hold.*

(a) *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{m\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} P(|X_n - X_n^m| > \varepsilon) = 0$.

(b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[f(X_n^m)Y] = \hat{E}[f(X^m)Y]$ *for each* $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $f \in C_b(E)$ *and* $Y \in b\mathcal{G}$.

(c) *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{m\to\infty} \hat{P}(|X^m - X| > \varepsilon) = 0$.

Then $X_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{G}} X$.

Proof. We shall prove the condition (2) in Lemma 2.2. Let f be a bounded uniformly continuous function and $Y \in b\mathcal{G}$. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E[f(X_n)Y] - \hat{E}[f(X)Y]| \\
&\le |E[f(X_n^m)Y] - E[f(X_n)Y]| + |E[f(X_n^m)Y] - \hat{E}[f(X^m)Y]| \\
&\quad + |\hat{E}[f(X^m)Y] - \hat{E}[f(X)Y]|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (b),

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n\to\infty} |E[f(X_n)Y] - \hat{E}[f(X)Y]| \le &\limsup_{n\to\infty} |E[f(X_n^m)Y] - E[f(X_n)Y]| \\
&+ |\hat{E}[f(X^m)Y] - \hat{E}[f(X)Y]|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since f is uniformly continuous, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for every $x, y \in E$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|E[f(X_n^m)Y] - E[f(X_n)Y]| &\le E[|(f(X_n) - f(X_n^m))Y|(1_{|X_n^m - X_n|>\delta} + 1_{|X_n^m - X_n|\le\delta})] \\
&\le 2\|f\|_\infty \|Y\|_\infty P(|X_n - X_n^m| > \delta) + \varepsilon\|Y\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε and m are arbitrary, this yields $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |E[f(X_n)Y] - \hat{E}[f(X)Y]| = 0$ by (a) and (c). □

2.2 Some properties of stochastic integrals under conditional probability

For a stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, we define the filtration generated by X as

$$\mathcal{F}_t^X := \sigma(X_s : 0 \le s \le t) \vee \{\text{all } P\text{-null sets}\}.$$

Let $W = (W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion. We consider some filtrations $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ so that W becomes a $\mathbb{F}$-Brownian motion for application to nonlinear filtering. For example, in Section 4 we specify

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_0) \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B \vee \mathcal{F}_t^W, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_0) \vee \mathcal{F}_1^B \vee \mathcal{F}_t^W,$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion independent of W and X_0 is an initial random variable of a stochastic differential equation.

We introduce a Fubini-type theorem for stochastic integrals and conditional expectations (see [2] for an example). We fix a filtration $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying the usual conditions.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a d-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-Brownian motion and $(f_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued predictable process with respect to $\mathbb{F}$ with $E[\int_0^1 |f_s|^2 ds] < \infty$. Then*

(i) *$(E[f_t|\mathcal{F}_t^W])_{t\in[0,1]}$ has a predictable version and satisfies that, for $s \le 1$,*

$$E[f_s|\mathcal{F}_s^W](\omega) = E[f_s|\mathcal{F}_1^W](\omega) \quad a.e.\ (s,\omega).$$

(ii)

$$E\Big[\int_0^1 f_s dW_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] = \int_0^1 E[f_s|\mathcal{F}_1^W]dW_s = \int_0^1 E[f_s|\mathcal{F}_s^W]dW_s \quad a.s.$$

Proof. The conditions (i) and (ii) are clearly satisfied in the case where f is a simple process such as

$$f_s = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i 1_{(t_i,t_{i+1}]}(s)$$

with $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$ and F_{t_i}-measurable random variables (A_i). The result follows from taking its limit. □

It is worth noting that the stable limit and conditional expectation operations cannot be interchanged in general. To see this, let W and B be one-dimensional Brownian motions independent of each other and (θ_s) be a square-integrable predictable process. A martingale limit theorem in [9] shows that $\sqrt{n}(\int_0^1 \int_{[sn]/n}^s \theta_r dW_r dW_s, \int_0^1 \int_{[sn]/n}^s \theta_r dB_r dW_s)$ converges $\mathcal{F}$-stably to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\int_0^1 \theta_s dN_s^1, \int_0^1 \theta_s dN_s^2)$ with a two-dimensional Brownian motion (N_t) independent of $\mathcal{F}$. Clearly each component of the limit has the same law. However, one can easily show that $\sqrt{n}E[\int_0^1 \int_{[sn]/n}^s \theta_r dW_r dW_s|\mathcal{F}_1^W]$ and $\sqrt{n}E[\int_0^1 \int_{[sn]/n}^s \theta_r dB_r dW_s|\mathcal{F}_1^W]$ no longer have the same limit. Indeed, the pair of the above conditional expectations converges $\mathcal{F}$-stably to $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[\theta_s|\mathcal{F}_s^W]dN_s^1, 0)$ by Lemma 2.4.

2.3 Useful lemmas

We use a step function η_n defined by $\eta_n(t) = [tn]/n, t \in [0,1]$. Assume that $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ satisfies the usual conditions.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(a_t)_{0\le t\le 1}$ and $(b_t)_{0\le t\le 1}$ be $\mathbb{F}$-predictable processes such that $\int_0^1 (a_t^2 + b_t^2)dt < \infty$ almost surely. Set $A_t = \int_0^t a_s ds$ and $M_t = \int_0^t b_s dW_s$ where $(W_t)_{0\le t\le 1}$ is a $\mathbb{F}$-Brownian motion. Then, for each $t > 0$,*

$$\int_0^t (A_s - A_{\eta_n(s)})dM_s \to^P 0, \quad and \quad \int_0^t (M_s - M_{\eta_n(s)})dA_s \to^P 0.$$

Proof. See Jacod and Protter [9, pp. 294-295]. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(a_t)_{0\le t\le 1}$ and $(b_t)_{0\le t\le 1}$ be the same as in Lemma 2.5. Let $(W_t^1, W_t^2)_{0\le t\le 1}$ be a two-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-Brownian motion. Then, for each $i, j = 1, 2$, $t > 0$,*

$$n\int_0^t \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s a_r dW_r^i \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s b_r dW_r^j ds \ \to^P \ \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2}\int_0^t a_s b_s ds.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 5.1 in [9] to a martingale $(W_t^i, W_t^j, \int_0^t a_s dW_s^i, \int_0^t b_s dW_s^j)$. □

3 Limit theorems

In this section we develop limit theorems for certain sequences of conditional expectations. Let $B = (B_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ and $W = (W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be e- and d-dimensional standard Brownian motions defined on a a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

The main result in this section is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ-field independent of W and set $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ where $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^W$, $t \in [0,1]$. If $F = (F^1, \dots, F^q) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_1; \mathbf{R}^q)$ and $(\theta_t^{ijk})_{t\in[0,1]}$, $1 \le i, j \le d$, $1 \le k \le q$ are $\mathbb{F}$-predictable processes satisfying $E[\int_0^1 |\theta_s^{ijk}|^2 ds] < \infty$ for all i, j, k, then*

$$\left(\sqrt{n}E\Big[F^k \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r^{ijk} dW_r^j dW_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\right)_{1\le i,j\le d, 1\le k\le q}$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^1 E[F^k \theta_s^{ijk} | \mathcal{F}_1^W] dN_s^{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le d, 1\le k\le q},$$

where $\{(N_t^{ij})_{t\in[0,1]} : 1 \le i, j \le d\}$ is a d^2-dimensional standard Brownian motion independent of $\mathcal{F}$. The stochastic integral in the limit is well-defined with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_1 \vee \mathcal{F}_t^N)_{t\in[0,1]}$.

Proof. We prove here only the case $q = 1$ for notational simplicity. Let us denote $F := F^1$ and $\theta^{ij} := \theta^{ij1}$. We first define $X_n = (X_n(i,j))_{1\le i,j\le d}$ by

$$X_n(i,j) := \sqrt{n}E\Big[F \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r^{ij} dW_r^j dW_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big].$$

In what follows, we consider an extended probability space

$$(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{P}) := (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \times \prod_{1\le i,j\le d} (\Omega^{ij}, \mathcal{F}^{ij}, P^{ij})$$

where, for each $1 \le i, j \le d$, the space $(\Omega^{ij}, \mathcal{F}^{ij}, P^{ij})$ is the Wiener space on which $N^{ij} = (N_t^{ij})_{t\in[0,1]}$ is the canonical Brownian motion. Set $X = (X(i,j))_{1\le i,j\le d}$ as

$$X(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^1 E[F\theta_s^{ij} | \mathcal{F}_1^W] dN_s^{ij}.$$

The above stochastic integral is defined with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_1^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^N)_{t\in[0,1]}$. The idea of the proof of the theorem can be sketched as the following diagram (which follows from Lemma 2.3 with $E = \mathbf{R}^{d^2}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \longrightarrow & X \\ {\scriptstyle (a)}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (c)} \\ X_n^m & \xrightarrow[(b)\text{ stable limit}]{} & X^m \end{array}$$

where (X_n^m) and (X^m) are approximation sequences defined below; and (a), (b), and (c) indicate the convergence in Lemma 2.3.

Step 1: The goal of this step is to find a sequence X_n^m which satisfies the convergence (a) and is also suitable for taking the limits (b) and (c). Since F is $\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{F}_1^W$-measurable, we can choose an approximation sequence F_m given by

$$F_m = \sum_{l=1}^m \Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi_{ml}^W, \quad \Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}} \in b\mathcal{H}, \Psi_{ml}^W \in b\mathcal{F}_1^W$$

and satisfying $\lim_{m\to\infty}\|F-F_m\|_2=0$. The auxiliary process $X_n^m=(X_n^m(i,j))_{1\le i,j\le d}$ is defined by

$$X_n^m(i,j):=\sqrt{n}E\Big[F_m\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^{ij}dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^W\Big].$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_n\Big\|E\Big[(F-F_m)\sqrt{n}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^{ij}dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\Big\|_1\\&\le\|F-F_m\|_2\sup_n\Big\|\sqrt{n}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^{ij}dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

Using Itô's isometry, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\sqrt{n}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^{ij}dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big\|_2^2&=E\Big[n\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s|\theta_r^{ij}|^2drds\Big]\\&\le\int_0^1E[|\theta_s^{ij}|^2]ds.\end{aligned}$$

This yields $\sup_n\|\sqrt{n}(X_n^m-X_n)\|_1\to0$ as $m\to\infty$, hence X_n^m satisfies the desired condition (a).

Step 2: Let m be fixed. We next consider the stable limit (b) of X_n^m for each m. We note that the discussion in this step will be based on the martingale limit theorems provided in [7] and [9]. We can show, by the independence of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^W$, that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{n}E\Big[F_m\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^{ij}dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\\&=\sqrt{n}\sum_{l=1}^m\Psi_{ml}^WE\Big[\Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^{ij}dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\\&=\sum_{l=1}^m\Psi_{ml}^W\sqrt{n}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^sE[\Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}}\theta_r^{ij}|\mathcal{F}_r^W]dW_r^jdW_s^i.\end{aligned}$$

Set $\xi_t^{lij}:=E[\Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}}\theta_t^{ij}|\mathcal{F}_t^W]$. Using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we obtain for each $t>0,i,j,i',j',l,m$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{n}\Big\langle\int_0^{\cdot}\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\xi_r^{lij}dW_r^jdW_s^i,W_{\cdot}^{i'}\Big\rangle_t\\&=\delta_{ii'}\sqrt{n}\int_0^t\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\xi_r^{lij}dW_r^jds\to^P0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&n\Big\langle\int_0^{\cdot}\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\xi_r^{lij}dW_r^jdW_s^i,\int_0^{\cdot}\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\xi_r^{l'i'j'}dW_r^{j'}dW_s^{i'}\Big\rangle_t\\&=\delta_{ii'}n\int_0^t\Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\xi_r^{lij}dW_r^j\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\xi_r^{l'i'j'}dW_r^{j'}\Big)ds\to^P\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'}\int_0^t\xi_s^{lij}\xi_s^{l'i'j'}ds.\end{aligned}$$

These imply the stable convergence of the following $(m\times d^2)$-dimensional process in Skorohod space (see [7, Theorem 2.1] and [9, Theorem 5.1]).

$$\Big(\sqrt{n}\int_0^{\cdot}\int_{\eta_n(s)}^sE[\Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}}\theta_r^{ij}|\mathcal{F}_r^W]dW_r^jdW_s^i\Big)_{1\le l\le m,1\le i,j\le d}$$

$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^{\cdot} E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_s]dN^{ij}_s\Big)_{1\le l\le m,1\le i,j\le d.} \tag{6}$$

By the definition of stable convergence, in particular, the result (6) implies that

$$\Big(\sum_{l=1}^m \Psi^W_{ml}\sqrt{n}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\theta^{ij}_r|\mathcal{F}^W_r]dW^j_r dW^i_s\Big)_{1\le i,j\le d}$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \Big(\sum_{l=1}^m \Psi^W_{ml}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_s]dN^{ij}_s\Big)_{1\le i,j\le d.}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we get $E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_s] = E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]$ a.e.-$(s.\omega)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=1}^m \Psi^W_{ml}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_s]dN^{ij}_s &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E\Big[\sum_{l=1}^m \Psi^{\mathcal{H}}_{ml}\Psi^W_{ml}\theta^{ij}_s\Big|\mathcal{F}^W_1\Big]dN^{ij}_s\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[F_m\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]dN^{ij}_s\\ &=: X^m(i,j).\end{aligned}$$

So we get (b). That is, $X^m_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} X^m = (X^m(i,j))_{1\le i,j\le d}$ for each m in the extended probability space $(\hat\Omega,\hat{\mathcal{F}},\hat P)$.

Step 3: In order to prove (c), we will show that X^m converges to X in $L^1(\hat\Omega,\hat{\mathcal{F}},\hat P)$. By the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality for L^1-martingales, $(X^m - X)$ satisfies

$$\|X^m(i,j)-X(i,j)\|_{L^1(\hat\Omega,\hat{\mathcal{F}},\hat P)} \le CE\Big[\Big(\int_0^1 |E[(F_m-F)\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]|^2ds\Big)^{1/2}\Big]$$

for some constant C. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for conditional expectations, we have

$$\int_0^1 |E[(F-F_m)\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]|^2ds \le E[(F-F_m)^2|\mathcal{F}^W_1]\int_0^1 E[|\theta^{ij}_s|^2|\mathcal{F}^W_1]ds.$$

Since $\|F_m - F\|_2 \to 0$, we have $\|E[(F-F_m)^2|\mathcal{F}^W_1]\|_1 \to 0$. Thus,

$$X^m(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[F_m\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]dN^{ij}_s \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[F\theta^{ij}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]dN^{ij}_s$$

in $L^1(\hat\Omega,\hat{\mathcal{F}},\hat P)$. From this, claim (c) holds.

From Steps 1 to 3, we obtain $X_n \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} X$ in the extended probability space $(\hat\Omega,\hat{\mathcal{F}},\hat P)$ by virtue of Lemma 2.3. This finishes the proof. □

We mention that Theorem 3.1 can be modified to the following, which we will use in Section 4.5..

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ-field independent of W and set $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ where $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{H}\vee\mathcal{F}^W_t$, $t\in[0,1]$. If $F = (F^1,\dots,F^q)\in L^2(\mathcal{F}_1;\mathbf{R}^q)$, $(\theta^{ijk}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$, $1\le i,j\le d$, $1\le k\le q$ are $\mathbb{F}$-predictable processes and $(\lambda^{ijk}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$, $1\le i,j\le d$, $1\le k\le q$ are $\mathbb{F}$-adapted continuous processes. Assume that $E[\int_0^1|\theta^{ijk}_s|^4ds]<\infty$ and $E[\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|\lambda^{ijk}_t|^4]<\infty$ for all i,j,k. Then,*

$$\Big(\sqrt{n}E\Big[F^k\int_0^1\Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta^{ijk}_r dW^j_r\Big)\lambda^{ijk}_s dW^i_s\Big|\mathcal{F}^W_1\Big]\Big)_{1\le i,j\le d,1\le k\le q}$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_0^1 E[F\theta^{ijk}_s\lambda^{ijk}_s|\mathcal{F}^W_1]dN^{ij}_s\Big)_{1\le i,j\le d,1\le k\le q}.$$

Proof. We first have

$$\sqrt{n}\int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r^{ijk} dW_r^j\Big)\lambda_s^{ijk} dW_s^i = \sqrt{n}\int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r^{ijk}\lambda_r^{ijk} dW_r^j\Big) dW_s^i + e_n^1 + e_n^2, \tag{7}$$

where

$$e_n^1 = \sqrt{n}\int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r^{ijk} dW_r^j\Big)(\lambda_s^{ijk} - \lambda_{\eta_n(s)}^{ijk}) dW_s^i,$$
$$e_n^2 = \sqrt{n}\int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r^{ijk}(\lambda_{\eta_n(r)}^{ijk} - \lambda_r^{ijk}) dW_r^j\Big) dW_s^i.$$

By the assumptions, we have $\|e_n^1 + e_n^2\|_2 \to 0$. The desired result follows. □

We next derive limit theorems for the same type of conditional expectations

$$E[F\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_s dX_s dY_s | \mathcal{F}_1^W]$$

where X or Y is $B^i (0 \le i \le e)$. We use the notation $W_t^0 = B_t^0 = t$ to simplify the statements below.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a sub σ-field of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}_1^B, \mathcal{F}_1^W$ be independent. Set $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ where $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B \vee \mathcal{F}_t^W$, $t \in [0,1]$. Suppose that $F \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(\theta_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-predictable process with $E[\int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dt] < \infty$. Then, for any $0 \le i, j \le d$, we have*

$$\sqrt{n}E\Big[F\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dB_r^j dB_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] \to 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\sqrt{n}E\Big[F\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dB_r^j dW_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] \to 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\sqrt{n}E\Big[F\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dW_r^j dB_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] \to 0, \tag{10}$$

in probability and in L^1 as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. First we consider an L^2-approximation sequence (F_m) for $F \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_1)$. For $X, Y = B^i$ or W^j (at least one of them is B^i for $0 \le i \le d$), we have

$$\Big\|\sqrt{n}E\Big[F\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\Big\|_1 \le \|F - F_m\|_2 \Big(\int_0^1 E[|\theta_s|^2] ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$+ \Big\|\sqrt{n}E\Big[F_m\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\Big\|_1.$$

From the above inequality, it suffices to construct $(F_m)_{m\in\mathbf{N}}$ such that $\|F - F_m\|_2 \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big\|\sqrt{n}E\Big[F_m\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big]\Big\|_1 = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

We now construct F_m as follows. Since F is $\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{F}_1^B \vee \mathcal{F}_1^W$-measurable, we can find an L^2-approximation sequence $(F_m)_{m\in\mathbf{N}}$ of the form

$$F_m = \sum_{l=1}^m \Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi_{ml}^B \Psi_{ml}^W, \quad \Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}} \in b\mathcal{H}, \Psi_{ml}^B \in b\mathcal{F}_1^B, \Psi_{ml}^W \in b\mathcal{F}_1^W.$$

Substituting this sequence into F_m, we obtain

$$E\Big[F_m \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] = \sum_{l=1}^m \Psi_{ml}^W E\Big[\Psi_{ml}^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi_{ml}^B \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big].$$

Hence our goal is the same as proving

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Big\| \sqrt{n} E\Big[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi^B \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] \Big\|_1 = 0, \quad \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \in b\mathcal{H}, \Psi^B \in b\mathcal{F}_1^B,$$

which is equivalent to the condition

$$\sqrt{n} E\Big[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi^B \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] \to^P 0, \quad \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \in b\mathcal{H}, \Psi^B \in b\mathcal{F}_1^B. \tag{11}$$

Here we used the fact that the sequence $(\sqrt{n}\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s)_n$ is L^2-bounded.

In the case $X_t = W_t^0 = t$, the limit (11) follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. For other cases, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s | \mathcal{F}_1^W] \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \theta_r | \mathcal{F}_r^W] dW_r^i ds & \text{if } X_t = W_t^i (1 \le i \le d), Y_t = t, \\ 0 & \text{if } X_t = B_t^i (1 \le i \le e). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\sqrt{n} E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r dY_s | \mathcal{F}_1^W] \to^P 0$ by Lemma 2.5. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume $E[\Psi^B] = 0$ and $\Psi^B = \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^1 f_s^l dB_s^l$ (by Itô's representation theorem).

Case $X_t = W_t^j$ $(1 \le j \le d)$, $Y_t = t$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} E\Big[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi^B \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dW_r^j ds \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] &= \sqrt{n} \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi^B \theta_r | \mathcal{F}_r^W] dW_r^j ds \\ &\to^P 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case $X_t = B_t^j$ $(1 \le j \le e)$, $Y_t = W_t^i$ $(0 \le i \le d)$: By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} E\Big[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi^B \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dB_r^j dW_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] &= \sqrt{n} \int_0^1 E\Big[\Psi^B \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \theta_r dB_r^j \Big| \mathcal{F}_s^W\Big] dW_s^i \\ &= \sqrt{n} \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s E[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} f_r^j \theta_r | \mathcal{F}_r^W] dr dW_s^i \\ &\to^P 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case $X_t = W_t^j$ or B_t^j $(1 \le j)$, $Y_t = B_t^i$ $(1 \le i \le d)$: We have

$$\sqrt{n} E\Big[\Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \Psi^B \int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \theta_r dX_r^j dB_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] = \sqrt{n} E\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \theta_r dX_r^j\Big) f_s^i ds \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big].$$

By using Lemma 2.5, we can prove

$$\sqrt{n} \int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \theta_r dX_r^j\Big) f_s^i ds \to^P 0.$$

Notice that $(\sqrt{n}\int_0^1 (\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \theta_r dX_r^j) f_s^i ds)$ is uniformly integrable. Consequently, we have

$$\sqrt{n} E\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \Psi^{\mathcal{H}} \theta_r dX_r^j\Big) f_s^i ds \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^W\Big] \to^P 0.$$

The proof is now complete. □

4 The Euler method for nonlinear filtering

The goal of this section is to illustrate how Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 can be applied to error analysis for the Euler method for continuous-time nonlinear filtering theory. We begin with a basic formulation of the problem.

4.1 Settings

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ be a filtered probability space and assume that $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ satisfies the usual conditions. Consider two stochastic process $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ (the so-called signal process) and $(Y_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ (the observation process), defined as the respective solutions of the e- and d-dimensional stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s, Y_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, Y_s)dB_s + \int_0^t v(X_s, Y_s)dY_s \\ &= X_0 + \int_0^t (b(X_s, Y_s) + v(X_s, Y_s)h(X_s, Y_s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, Y_s)dB_s + \int_0^t v(X_s, Y_s)dW_s, \\ Y_t &= \int_0^t h(X_s, Y_s)ds + W_t, \end{aligned}$$

where X_0 is $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable, and $B = (B_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ and $W = (W_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ stand for, respectively, e- and d-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-Brownian motions independent of each other. The coefficients $b = (b_i)_{1\le i\le e} : \mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}^e$, $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le e} : \mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}^e \otimes \mathbf{R}^e$, $v = (v_{ik})_{1\le i\le e, 1\le k\le d} : \mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}^e \otimes \mathbf{R}^d$, and $h = (h_i)_{1\le i\le d} : \mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}^d$ are assumed to be Lipschitz continuous. We refer the reader to Kunita [12] for further details the general case.

We are interested in the practical issues of nonlinear filtering and, in particular, the computational problem for the conditional expectation $E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$. One of the approaches to the problem is to use a change of measure approach (see, e.g., [2], [12]). We set

$$\Phi_1 := \exp\Big(\int_0^1 h(X_s, Y_s)dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |h|^2(X_s, Y_s)ds\Big)$$

and assume $E[\Phi_1^{-1}] = 1$. Then, using Girsanov's theorem, we can consider the change of measure via the density $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = \Phi_1^{-1}$. That is, the new probability measure is defined as $\tilde{P}(A) = E[1_A\Phi_1^{-1}]$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Notice that for the random variables Z_n ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) and Z, $Z_n \to^P Z$ and $Z_n \to^{\tilde{P}} Z$ are equivalent. Under the measure $\tilde{P}$, B and Y are, respectively, e- and d-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-Brownian motions; and X_0, B, and Y are independent. After the change of measure, we get the abstract Bayes formula (Kallianpur–Striebel formula)

$$E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] = \frac{\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}{\tilde{E}[\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}.$$

See [12, Theorem 2.9] for the details. The advantage of this change of measure is that, under $\tilde{P}$, the random variables inside the conditional expectation can be expressed as a functional of two Brownian motions (B, Y). Hence one can construct the discrete-time approximation scheme for $\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$ and give the precise error estimate for it by using Itô's stochastic calculus with respect to (B, Y). In the next two subsections, the Euler method for $\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$ is discussed.

For simplicity, we adopt the following notation:

- The summation over all indices (of possible states) is denoted by $\sum_i$, $\sum_{i,j}$, and so on.

- For a smooth function $f(x,y)$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}^e$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$), $\nabla_x f$ and $\nabla_y f$ denote the gradient vector fields of the variables x and y, respectively. Similarly, $\partial_{x_k} f$ and $\partial_{y_k} f$ are defined as kth partial derivatives with respect to the variables x and y, respectively.

4.2 Asymptotic error distribution of the Euler method (I)

First of all, we consider the Euler-type approximation for Φ_1, that is,

$$\bar{\Phi}_1^n := \exp\Big(\int_0^1 h(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)}) dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)}) ds\Big).$$

If the finite-dimensional distribution of $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is known exactly (under $\tilde{P}(\cdot|\mathcal{F}_1^Y)$), we can compute the approximate value $\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$ by Monte Carlo methods. It seems that $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is not implementable in general, since the stochastic differential equation is driven by the term $\int_0^t \cdots dY_s$. For the reason, we will work with Euler-Maruyama approximation for $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ in Section 4.3.

In what follows, we analyse the asymptotic distribution of the unnormalized error

$$\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y].$$

Subsequently, we also deduce the asymptotic distribution of the normalized error

$$E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \frac{\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}{\tilde{E}[\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}.$$

In order to prove several properties, the following three conditions are considered.

(A_1) : b, σ, v: Lipschitz continuous. $X_0 \in \cap_{p\geq 1} L^p$.

(A_2) : $h \in C_b^1(\mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d; \mathbf{R}^d)$.

(A_3) : g is a measurable function with polynomial growth.

As an important application of Theorem 3.1, we can show the following limit theorem for the unnormalized error under $\tilde{P}$ and the normalized error under the original measure P. If the conditional variance of the limit is not zero, the results imply that the order of strong convergence of these errors is at most $1/2$. Combining this and Proposition 4.6 described later, we can say that the order $1/2$ is optimal, except some specific cases. For example, under the assumptions in [16], [17], [14], the conditional variance of the stable limit (given below) is zero, and it is shown that the strong rate convergence is 1.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume* (A_1)-(A_3). *Then the following results hold.*

(i) *Under the probability measure* $\tilde{P}$,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]\right)$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} Z\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d}\int_0^1 |u_s^{ij}(g)|^2 ds}$$

where

$$u_s^{ij}(g) = \tilde{E}\Big[g(X_1)\Big(\sum_k \partial_{x_k} h_i(X_s,Y_s) v_{kj}(X_s,Y_s) + \partial_{y_j} h_i(X_s,Y_s)\Big)\Phi_1\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]$$

and Z *is a standard normal random variable independent of* $\mathcal{F}$.

(ii) *Under the original probability measure P,*

$$\sqrt{n}\left(E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \frac{\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}{\tilde{E}[\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}\right)$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} Z\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i,j\le d}\int_0^1 |\mu_s^{ij}(g)|^2 ds}$$

where

$$\mu_s^{ij}(g) = E\Big[g(X_1)\Big(\sum_k \partial_{x_k}h_i(X_s,Y_s)v_{kj}(X_s,Y_s) + \partial_{y_j}h_i(X_s,Y_s)\Big)\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]$$
$$- E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]E\Big[\sum_k \partial_{x_k}h_i(X_s,Y_s)v_{kj}(X_s,Y_s) + \partial_{y_j}h_i(X_s,Y_s)\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]$$

and Z is a standard normal random variable independent of $\mathcal{F}$.

4.3 Asymptotic error distribution of the Euler method (II)

We now consider the Euler–Maruyama scheme for the stochastic differential equation $X = (X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$. The scheme $\bar{X}^n = (\bar{X}_t^n)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is defined by

$$\bar{X}_t^n = X_0 + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(s)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(s)})ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t \sigma(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(s)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(s)})dB_s + \int_0^t v(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(s)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(s)})dY_s.$$

Here, we redefine the approximation of Φ_1 as follows. For any continuous processes U and V,

$$\bar{\Phi}_1^n(U,V) := \exp\Big(\int_0^1 h(U_{\eta_n(s)}, V_{\eta_n(s)})dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |h|^2(U_{\eta_n(s)}, V_{\eta_n(s)})ds\Big).$$

In what follows, the pair $(\bar{X}^n, \bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y))$ is used as an approximation for (X, Φ_1). Note that $\bar{\Phi}_1^n(X,Y) = \bar{\Phi}_1^n$, as previously. Both $\bar{X}_1^n$ and $\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)$ are functionals of X_0, $\{B_{(i+1)/n} - B_{i/n}\}_{0\le i\le n-1}$, $\{Y_{(i+1)/n} - Y_{i/n}\}_{0\le i\le n-1}$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n,Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] = \tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n,Y)|\{Y_{(i+1)/n} - Y_{i/n}\}_{0\le i\le n-1}].$$

Therefore $\tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n,Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$ is implementable.

In this subsection, some additional smoothness assumptions for the coefficients are required.

(A_1') : b, σ, v: C^1 with bounded partial derivatives. $X_0 \in \cap_{p\ge1}L^p$.

(A_2') : $h \in C_b^1(\mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d; \mathbf{R}^d)$.

(A_3') : $g \in C^1$ such that g and ∇g have polynomial growth.

We finally show a similar version of Theorem 4.1 together with the approximation to $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Under (A_1')-(A_3'), let $\{(\mathcal{E}_t^{ij})_{t\in[0,1]}, 1\le i,j\le e+1\}$ be the solution to the following linear stochastic differential equation. For $1\le i\le e$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_t^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_k \int_0^t \partial_{x_k}b_i(X_s,Y_s)\mathcal{E}_s^{kj}ds$$

$$+\sum_{k,l}\int_0^t \partial_{x_k}\sigma_{i,l}(X_s,Y_s)\mathcal{E}_s^{kj}dB_s^l+\sum_{k,l}\int_0^t \partial_{x_k}v_{i,l}(X_s,Y_s)\mathcal{E}_s^{kj}dY_s^l,$$

and, for $i=e+1$,

$$\mathcal{E}_t^{ij}=\delta_{ij}+\sum_{k,l}\int_0^t \partial_{x_k}h_l(X_s,Y_s)\mathcal{E}_s^{kj}dY_s^l+\sum_{k}\int_0^t \partial_{x_k}|h|^2(X_s,Y_s)\mathcal{E}_s^{kj}ds.$$

Then, the following results hold.

(i) *Under the probability measure* $\tilde{P}$,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]-\tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n,Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]\right)$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} Z\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i,j\le d}\int_0^1|u_s^{ij}(g)|^2ds},$$

where

$$u_s^{ij}(g)=\tilde{E}\Big[\sum_{k',k''}\Big(\sum_{1\le k\le e}\partial_k g(X_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}+\mathcal{E}_1^{(e+1)k'}\Big)(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}f_s^{ijk''}\Phi_1\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big],$$

$f_s^{ijk''}$ *is given by*

$$f_s^{ijk''}=\begin{cases}\sum_l \partial_{x_l}v_{k''i}(X_s,Y_s)v_{lj}(X_s,Y_s)+\partial_{y_j}v_{k''i}(X_s,Y_s), & k''=1,\dots,e,\\ \sum_l \partial_{x_l}h_i(X_s,Y_s)v_{lj}(X_s,Y_s)+\partial_{y_j}h_i(X_s,Y_s), & k''=e+1,\end{cases}$$

and Z *is a standard normal random variable independent of* $\mathcal{F}$.

(ii) *Under the original probability measure* P,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]-\frac{\tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n,Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}{\tilde{E}[\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n,Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}\right)$$
$$\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} Z\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i,j\le d}\int_0^1|\mu_s^{ij}(g)|^2ds},$$

where

$$\mu_s(g)=E\Big[\sum_{k',k''}\Big(\sum_{1\le k\le e}\partial_k g(X_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}+\mathcal{E}_1^{(e+1)k'}\Big)(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}f_s^{ijk''}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]$$
$$+E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]E\Big[\sum_{k',k''}\mathcal{E}_1^{(e+1)k'}(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}f_s^{ijk''}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big],$$

and Z *is a standard normal random variable independent of* $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 4.3. We see that $\mu_s=0$ if

$$\partial_y h(x,y)=0,$$
$$v(x,y)=0.$$

Additionally, when we assume $\partial_y b(x,y)=0$ and $\partial_y\sigma(x,y)=0$, we get the following standard model in filtering theory

$$X_t=X_0+\int_0^t b(X_s)ds+\int_0^t\sigma(X_s)dB_s$$

$$Y_t = \int_0^t h(X_s)ds + W_t.$$

The asymptotic error distribution of the Euler method for this case (with order $1/n$) has not been analysed.

4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Before giving the proof of the theorem, we prove several auxiliary results.

Lemma 4.4. *Under (A_1) and $h \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d; \mathbf{R}^d)$, we have*

1. $\bar{\Phi}_1^n \to^P \Phi_1$.
2. *For any $p \in \mathbf{R}$, $\tilde{E}[|\Phi_1|^p] + \sup_n \tilde{E}[|\bar{\Phi}_1^n|^p] < \infty$.*
3. $\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] \to^P \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$.

Proof. The second assertion clearly follows from the boundedness of h. We prove assertions 1 and 3 simultaneously. Since h and $t \mapsto (X_t, Y_t)$ are continuous, it follows that $\int_0^1 |h(X_s, Y_s) - h(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)})|^2 ds \to 0$ almost surely. This also implies that $\int_0^1 h(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)})dY_s \to^P \int_0^1 h(X_s, Y_s)dY_s$. Hence we get both $\bar{\Phi}_1^n \to^P \Phi_1$ and $\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] \to^P \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$. □

Lemma 4.5. *If (A_1) holds and $\mu \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ with bounded partial derivatives, then for every $p \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \tilde{E}\Big[\big|\mu(X_t, Y_t) - \mu(X_{\eta_n(t)}, Y_{\eta_n(t)}) - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\nabla_x \mu(X_s, Y_s)dX_s + \nabla_y \mu(X_s, Y_s)dY_s)\big|^p\Big] = o(n^{-p/2}).$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{E}\Big[\int_0^1 |\mu(X_t, Y_t) - \mu(X_{\eta_n(t)}, Y_{\eta_n(t)})|^p dt\Big] \leq \frac{C(p)}{n^{p/2}}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu(x, y) = \mu(x)$ and that (X_t) is a one-dimensional process. By the mean value theorem, we have $\mu(X_t) - \mu(X_{\eta_n(t)}) = \mu'(\xi_n(t))(X_t - X_{\eta_n(t)})$ for some $\xi_n(t)$. Therefore, we get

$$\mu(X_t) - \mu(X_{\eta_n(t)}) - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t \mu'(X_s)dX_s = (\mu'(\xi_n(t)) - \mu'(X_{\eta_n(t)}))(X_t - X_{\eta_n(t)}) - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\mu'(X_s) - \mu'(X_{\eta_n(s)}))dX_s.$$

By the assumption (A_1), using Gronwall's inequality (see [11, p. 136]), we can prove the following estimates.

$$\tilde{E}[\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} |X_t|^p] < \infty \quad \sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \tilde{E}[|X_t - X_{\eta_n(t)}|^p] \leq \frac{C(p)}{n^{p/2}} \tag{12}$$

We also obtain, from the continuity of X_t,

$$\tilde{E}[\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} |\mu'(\xi_n(t)) - \mu'(X_{\eta_n(t)})|^p] \to 0, \quad \tilde{E}[\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} |\mu'(X_t) - \mu'(X_{\eta_n(t)})|^p] \to 0. \tag{13}$$

The first result follows.

The second part can be proven as follows. By the above estimates (12) and (13), we have

$$\tilde{E}\Big[\int_0^1 |\mu(X_t,Y_t)-\mu(X_{\eta_n(t)},Y_{\eta_n(t)})|^p dt\Big]$$
$$\le \sup_{0\le t\le 1}\tilde{E}\Big[\Big|\int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\nabla_x\mu(X_s,Y_s)dX_s+\nabla_y\mu(X_s,Y_s)dY_s)\Big|^p\Big]+\frac{C_1(p)}{n^{p/2}}.$$

We can easily show that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le 1}\tilde{E}\Big[\Big|\int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\nabla_x\mu(X_s,Y_s)dX_s+\nabla_y\mu(X_s,Y_s)dY_s)\Big|^p\Big]\le\frac{C_2(p)}{n^{p/2}}.$$

□

Let us now introduce a basic estimate for the discretization of Φ_1 with respect to the L^p norm.

Proposition 4.6. *Under* (A_1)*-*(A_3)*, we have*

$$\tilde{E}[|\Phi_1-\bar{\Phi}_1^n|^p]\le\frac{C(p)}{n^{p/2}}. \tag{14}$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{E}\Big[\big|\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]-\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]\big|^p\Big]\le\frac{C(p,g)}{n^{p/2}}, \tag{15}$$

$$E\Big[\Big|E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]-\frac{\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}{\tilde{E}[\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}\Big|^p\Big]\le\frac{C(p,g)}{n^{p/2}}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. For every $p\ge 1$, we shall prove (14). We can apply the mean value theorem to $\Phi_1-\bar{\Phi}_1^n$. Then, we have

$$\Phi_1-\bar{\Phi}_1^n=\bar{\Gamma}^n\Big(\int_0^1(h(X_s,Y_s)-h(X_{\eta_n(s)},Y_{\eta_n(s)}))dY_s$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1(|h|^2(X_s,Y_s)-|h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)},Y_{\eta_n(s)}))ds\Big), \tag{17}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}^n=\int_0^1\exp(\rho\log(\Phi_1)+(1-\rho)\log(\bar{\Phi}_1^n))d\rho$. The estimate $\sup_n\tilde{E}[|\bar{\Gamma}^n|^p]^{1/p}<\infty$ is a consequence of Lemma 4.4. By the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality, we have

$$\tilde{E}\Big[\Big|\int_0^1(h(X_s,Y_s)-h(X_{\eta_n(s)},Y_{\eta_n(s)}))dY_s$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1(|h|^2(X_s,Y_s)-|h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)},Y_{\eta_n(s)}))ds\Big|^p\Big]$$
$$\le C_p\tilde{E}\Big[\int_0^1(|h(X_s,Y_s)-h(X_{\eta_n(s)},Y_{\eta_n(s)})|^p$$
$$+||h|^2(X_s,Y_s)-|h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)},Y_{\eta_n(s)})|^p)ds\Big].$$

Therefore the result (14) follows from Lemma 4.5 with $\mu=h$ and $\mu=|h|^2$. We can easily prove (15) using (14) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

For the proof of (16), let $\rho_1(g):=\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$ and $\bar{\rho}_1^n(g):=\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Phi}_1^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$. The error is expressed as

$$\frac{\rho_1(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})}-\frac{\bar{\rho}_1^n(g)}{\bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})}=\frac{1}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})}(\rho_1(g)-\bar{\rho}_1^n(g))-\frac{\bar{\rho}_1^n(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})\bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})}(\rho_1(\mathbf{1})-\bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})). \tag{18}$$

The result follows from (15) and the moment estimates in Lemma 4.4. □

We now prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (i). Assuming the notation in Theorem 3.1, we shall prove

$$\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}[g(X_1)(\Phi_1 - \bar{\Phi}_1^n)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] \Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 u_s^{ij}(g) dN_s^{ij}. \tag{19}$$

The limit random variable in (19) has the same law as $Z(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^1 |u_s^{ij}(g)|^2 ds)^{1/2}$ under the conditional probability given $\mathcal{F}$.

From the expression in (17), we obtain

$$\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}[g(X_1)(\Phi_1 - \bar{\Phi}_1^n)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] = \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Gamma}^n\sqrt{n}J^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y],$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J^n := &\int_0^1 (h(X_s, Y_s) - h(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))dY_s \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (|h|^2(X_s, Y_s) - |h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\bar{\Gamma}^n \to^P \Phi_1$ and $\tilde{E}[|\sqrt{n}J^n|^2] \le C$, we can prove

$$\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\bar{\Gamma}^n\sqrt{n}J^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] = \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1\sqrt{n}J^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] + o_P(1).$$

Taking into account Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1\sqrt{n}J^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] &= \sum_{i,j}\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[g(X_1)\Phi_1\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s a_r^{ij}dY_r^j dY_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] + o_P(1), \\ a_t^{ij} &= \sum_k \partial_{x_k}h_i(X_t, Y_t)v_{kj}(X_t, Y_t) + \partial_{y_j}h_i(X_t, Y_t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired result (19). To see this, set $J^n = K^n - \frac{1}{2}L^n$ as

$$\begin{aligned} K^n &:= \int_0^1 (h(X_s, Y_s) - h(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))dY_s, \\ L^n &= \int_0^1 (|h|^2(X_s, Y_s) - |h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the first part of Lemma 4.5 to K^n, we get

$$n\tilde{E}\Big[\Big|K^n - \sum_i\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s \Big\{\sum_k \partial_{x_k}h_i(X_r, Y_r)dX_r^k + \sum_j \partial_{y_j}h_i(X_y, Y_r)dY_r^j\Big\}dY_s^i\Big|^2\Big] \to 0.$$

Note that $dX_t^k = b_k(X_t, Y_t)dt + \sum_l \sigma_{kl}(X_t, Y_t)dB_t^l + \sum_j v_{kj}(X_t, Y_t)dY_t^j$. Thus, by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1\sqrt{n}K^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] = \sum_{i,j}\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[g(X_1)\Phi_1\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s a_r^{ij}dY_r^j dY_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] + o_P(1).$$

A similar calculation can be applied to L^n. Then, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1\sqrt{n}L^n|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] \to^P 0.$$

This proves the assertion (i). □

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (ii). Recall the expression (18). That is,

$$\frac{\rho_1(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})} - \frac{\bar{\rho}_1^n(g)}{\bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})} = \frac{1}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})}(\rho_1(g) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(g)) - \frac{\bar{\rho}_1^n(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})\bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})}(\rho_1(\mathbf{1}) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})).$$

As seen in Theorem 3.1 ($q = 2$) and the proof of Theorem 4.1 (i), the pair $(\rho_1(g) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(g), \rho_1(\mathbf{1}) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1}))$ has the following limit.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{n}\,(\rho_1(g) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(g), \rho_1(\mathbf{1}) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1}))\\ &\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^1 u_s^{ij}(g)dN_s^{ij}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^1 u_s^{ij}(\mathbf{1})dN_s^{ij}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Applying the result $\rho_1(g) - \bar{\rho}_1^n(g) \to^P 0$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\rho_1(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})} - \frac{\bar{\rho}_1^n(g)}{\bar{\rho}_1^n(\mathbf{1})}\right) &\Longrightarrow^{s-\mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^1 \frac{u_s^{ij}(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})}dN_s^{ij} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\rho_1(g)}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^1 \frac{u_s^{ij}(\mathbf{1})}{\rho_1(\mathbf{1})}dN_s^{ij}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^1 \mu_s^{ij}(g)dN_s^{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

We finally remark that the stable limit still holds under the original measure P, which is absolutely continuous with respect to $\tilde{P}$. □

4.5 Proof of Theorem 4.2

By applying Gronwall's inequality to the function $s \mapsto \tilde{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le s}|X_t - \bar{X}_t^n|^p]$, the strong rate of convergence of the Euler–Maruyama scheme for the stochastic differential equation with Lipschitz continuous coefficients is given by

$$\tilde{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|X_t - \bar{X}_t^n|^p\Big] \le \frac{C(p)}{n^{p/2}}. \tag{20}$$

This is well-known. Further, for any continuous and polynomial growth function f, we also have, by (20), that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|f(X_t) - f(\bar{X}_t^n)| \to 0 \quad \text{in probability and in } L^p. \tag{21}$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.5, the L^p-estimate can be established by using the estimates (20) and (21), as follows.

Lemma 4.7. *If (A_1') holds and $\mu \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ with bounded partial derivatives, then, for every $p \ge 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le 1}\tilde{E}\Big[\big|\mu(\bar{X}_t^n, Y_t) - \mu(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(t)}) - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\nabla_x\mu(X_s, Y_s)dX_s + \nabla_y\mu(X_s, Y_s)dY_s)\big|^p\Big]\\ &= o(n^{-p/2}).\end{aligned}$$

We now give the L^p-estimates corresponding to (2) in our introduction. The proof is straightforward, and can be easily obtained by the argument used in Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 4.8. *Under the assumptions (A_1')-(A_3'), we have*

$$\tilde{E}[|\Phi_1 - \bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|^p] \le \frac{C(p)}{n^{p/2}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{E}[|\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1 - g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]|^p] \le \frac{C(p,g)}{n^{p/2}},$$
$$E\Big[\Big|E[g(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \frac{\tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}{\tilde{E}[\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]}\Big|^p\Big] \le \frac{C(p,g)}{n^{p/2}}.$$

Before proving Theorem 4.2, we introduce without proof a useful formula for linear stochastic differential equations (see [4] for a proof).

Lemma 4.9. *Let $Z_t = (t, B_1^1, \dots, B_t^e, Y_t^1, \dots, Y_t^d)$, G_t be a q-dimensional continuous semimartingale and $\{(a_{ij}^k(t)) : 1 \le i, j \le q, 1 \le k \le 1+e+d\}$ be a bounded predictable process. Suppose $\{(\varphi_t^i) : 1 \le i \le q\}$ is the solution of the stochastic integral equation*

$$\varphi_t^i = \sum_{j,k} \int_0^t a_{ij}^k(s)\varphi_s^j dZ_s^k + G_t^i,$$

and $\{(\psi_t^{ij}), 1 \le i, j \le q\}$ is the solution of the linear stochastic differential equation

$$\psi_t^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{l,k} \int_0^t a_{il}^k(s)\psi_s^{lj} dZ_s^k.$$

Then, (φ_t) can be solved as

$$\varphi_t^i = \sum_{j,k} \psi_t^{ij} \int_0^t \big((\psi_s^{-1})^{jk} dG_s^k - \sum_{l,m} (\psi_s^{-1})^{jl} a_{lk}^m(s) d\langle Z^m, G^k\rangle_s\big).$$

Using the above Lemma, we can prove the main result in this section. The essential idea used for the computation is similar to that used in [4, Section 1].

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We prove only (i) by showing that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{n}\left(\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]\right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[\sum_{k',k''}\Big(\sum_{1\le k\le e} \partial_k g(X_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'} + \mathcal{E}_1^{(e+1)k'}\Big)\int_0^1 \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s (\mathcal{E}_r^{-1})^{k'k''} f_r^{ijk''} dY_r^j dY_s^i \Big| \mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] \\
&\quad + o_P(1).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (ii) can be obtained from the same argument in Theorem 4.1 (ii).

Let us first define an $(e+1)$-dimensional process $\tilde{X}_t$ by

$$\tilde{X}_t^i = \begin{cases} X_t^i & i = 1, \dots, e, \\ \int_0^t h(X_s, Y_s)dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |h|^2(X_s, Y_s)ds, & i = e+1, \end{cases}$$

and its approximation $\hat{X}_t^n$ by

$$\hat{X}_t^{n,i} = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_t^{n,i} & i = 1, \dots, e, \\ \int_0^t h(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)})dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |h|^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}, Y_{\eta_n(s)})ds, & i = e+1. \end{cases}$$

Set $Z_t = (t, B_1^1, \dots, B_t^e, Y_t^1, \dots, Y_t^d)$. For each $1 \le k \le e+1$ and $1 \le m \le e+d+1$, define $A_k^m(x,y) : \mathbf{R}^e \times \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$A_k^m(x,y) = \begin{cases} b_k(x,y), & 1 \le k \le e,\ m = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2}|h|^2(x,y), & k = e+1,\ m = 0, \\ \sigma_{km}(x,y), & 1 \le k \le e,\ 1 \le m \le e, \\ v_{k(m-e)}(x,y), & 1 \le k \le e,\ e+1 \le m \le d+e+1, \\ h_{(m-e)}(x,y), & k = e+1,\ e+1 \le m \le d+e+1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\tilde{X}_t$ solves

$$d\tilde{X}_t^k = \sum_m A_k^m(X_t, Y_t) dZ_t^m.$$

The function $\tilde{g} : \mathbf{R}^{e+1} \to \mathbf{R}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{g}(x_1, \ldots, x_e, x_{e+1}) = g(x_1, \ldots, x_e) \exp(x_{e+1}).$$

Substituting the above into the target $\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &:= \sqrt{n}\left(\tilde{E}[g(X_1)\Phi_1|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] - \tilde{E}[g(\bar{X}_1^n)\bar{\Phi}_1^n(\bar{X}^n, Y)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]\right) \\ &= \sqrt{n}\tilde{E}[\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}_1) - \tilde{g}(\hat{X}_1^n)|\mathcal{F}_1^Y]. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem for $\tilde{g}$, we can choose ξ_n such that

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}_1) - \tilde{g}(\hat{X}_1^n) = \sum_k (\partial_k \tilde{g})(\xi_n)(\tilde{X}_1^k - \hat{X}_1^{n,k}).$$

Since $\xi_n \to^P \tilde{X}_1$ and $\|\sqrt{n}(\tilde{X}_1 - \hat{X}_1^n)\|_2 \le C$ by (20), we can deduce

$$C_n = \sum_k \tilde{E}[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\sqrt{n}(\tilde{X}_1^k - \hat{X}_1^{n,k})|\mathcal{F}_1^Y] + o_P(1).$$

Set $\varphi_t = \tilde{X}_t - \hat{X}_t^n$. Let us consider the stochastic differential equation

$$\varphi_t^k = \sum_{k',m} \int_0^t a_{kk'}^m(s)\varphi_s^{k'} dZ_s^m + G_t^k, \quad 1 \le k \le e+1,$$

where $a_{kk'}^m(s) = \partial_{x_{k'}} A_k^m(X_t, Y_t)$ and

$$G_t^k = R_t^{k,n} + R_t^{D,k,n} + R_t^{B,k,n} + R_t^{Y,k,n}. \tag{22}$$

The error terms $R_t^{k,n}$, $R_t^{D,k,n}$, $R_t^{B,k,n}$, and $R_t^{Y,k,n}$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_t^{k,n} &= \sum_m \int_0^t (A_k^m(X_s, Y_s) - A_k^m(\bar{X}_s^n, Y_s) - \sum_l a_{k,l}^m(s)(X_s^l - \bar{X}_s^{n,l}))dZ_s^m, \\ R_t^{D,k,n} &= \int_0^t (A_k^0(\bar{X}_s^n, Y_s) - A_k^0(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(s)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))ds, \\ R_t^{B,k,n} &= \sum_{1\le m\le e} \int_0^t (A_k^m(\bar{X}_s^n, Y_s) - A_k^m(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(s)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))dZ_s^m, \\ R_t^{Y,k,n} &= \sum_{e+1\le m\le e+d+1} \int_0^t (A_k^m(\bar{X}_s^n, Y_s) - A_k^m(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(s)}^n, Y_{\eta_n(s)}))dZ_s^m. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.9 to $\varphi_t = \tilde{X}_t - \hat{X}_t^n$, we have

$$\varphi_1^k = \sum_{k',k''} \mathcal{E}_1^{kk'} \int_0^1 \left((\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''} dG_s^{k''} - \sum_{l,m} (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'l} a_{lk''}^m(s) d\langle Z^m, G^{k''}\rangle_s\right).$$

Hence showing the following three properties proves our assertion in the theorem.

(a) For each k, k', k'',

$$C_n^Y := \sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1 (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''} dR_s^{Y,k'',n}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]$$
$$= \sum_{i,j}\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s (\mathcal{E}_r^{-1})^{k'k''} f_r^{ijk''} dY_r^j dY_s^i\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] + o_P(1).$$

(b) For each k, k', k'',

$$\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1 (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}(dG_s^{k''} - dR_s^{Y,k'',n})\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] \to^P 0.$$

(c) For each k, k', k'', l, m,

$$\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1 (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'l} a_{lk''}^m(s) d\langle Z^m, G^{k''}\rangle_s\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] \to^P 0.$$

Proof of Property (a): By using Lemma 4.7, C_n^Y can be written as

$$C_n^Y = \sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\sum_{e+1\le m\le e+d+1}\int_0^1 (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s$$
$$\big(\partial_x A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)dX_r + \partial_y A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)dY_r\big)dZ_s^m\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] + o_P(1).$$

Since $s \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}$ is continuous, we can now apply the argument (7) in Corollay 3.2 to the term $(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s$. Doing so, we get

$$C_n^Y = \sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\sum_{e+1\le m\le e+d+1}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s (\mathcal{E}_r^{-1})^{k'k''}$$
$$\big(\partial_x A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)dX_r + \partial_y A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)dY_r\big)dZ_s^m\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big] + o_P(1).$$

Applying Theorem 3.3 to $A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)dX_r$, we deduce

$$C_n^Y = \sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\sum_{e+1\le m\le e+d+1}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s (\mathcal{E}_r^{-1})^{k'k''}$$
$$\sum_j\Big(\sum_l \partial_{x_l}A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)v_{lj}(X_r,Y_r)dY_r^j + \partial_{y_j}A_{k''}^m(X_r,Y_r)dY_r^j\Big)dZ_s^m\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]$$
$$+ o_P(1).$$

The validity of Property (a) then follows from the definition of $A_{k''}^m$.

Proof of Property (b): We begin with the estimate for $R_t^{k'',n}$. By the mean value theorem, there exists some $(\xi_k^{l,n}(s))$ such that

$$A_k^0(X_s,Y_s) - A_k^0(\bar{X}_s^n,Y_s) = \sum_l \partial_{x_l}A_k(\xi_k^{l,n}(s),Y_s)(X_s^l - \bar{X}_s^{n,l})$$

for every k. Then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\tilde{E}\Big[\Big|\sqrt{n}(\partial_k \tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1 (\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''} dR_s^{k'',n}\Big|\Big]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le C_1\tilde{E}\Big[\Big|\sqrt{n}\int_0^1(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}dR_s^{k'',n}\Big|^2\Big]^{1/2}\\
&= C_1\tilde{E}\Big[\int_0^1|\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}\sum_l(\partial_{x_l}A^0_{k''}(\xi^{l,n}_{k''}(t),Y_t)-\partial_{x_l}A^0_{k''}(X_t,Y_t))(X^l_s-\bar{X}^{n,l}_s)|^2ds\Big]^{1/2}\\
&\le C_2\tilde{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|\sqrt{n}(X_t-\bar{X}^n_t)|^6\Big]^{\frac{1}{6}}\sum_l\tilde{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|\partial_{x_l}A^0_{k''}(\xi^{l,n}_{k''}(t),Y_t)-\partial_{x_l}A^0_{k''}(X_t,Y_t)|^6\Big]^{\frac{1}{6}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, using (20) and (21), we have

$$
\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k\tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}dR_s^{k'',n}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]\to^P 0.
$$

We next prove the result for $R_t^{D,k'',n}$. Using an argument similar to that for the case of Property (a),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k\tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}dR_s^{D,k'',n}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]\\
&=\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k\tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s(\mathcal{E}_r^{-1})^{k'k''}\\
&\qquad\qquad\big(\partial_xA^m_{k''}(X_r,Y_r)dX_r+\partial_yA^m_{k''}(X_r,Y_r)dY_r\big)ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]\\
&\quad+o_P(1).
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Theorem 3.3, this yields

$$
\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k\tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}dR_s^{D,k'',n}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]\to^P 0.
$$

For $dR_t^{B,k'',n}$ we can also show, in the same way as above, that

$$
\sqrt{n}\tilde{E}\Big[(\partial_k\tilde{g})(\tilde{X}_1)\mathcal{E}_1^{kk'}\int_0^1(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'k''}dR_s^{B,k'',n}\Big|\mathcal{F}_1^Y\Big]\to^P 0.
$$

Consequently, we obtain Property (b).

Proof of Property (c): Notice that $d\langle Z^m,G^{k''}\rangle_s$ can be expressed in the form $\sum_j\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s\theta_r^jdZ_r^jds$ plus some remainder term. Taking into account the continuity of $s\mapsto(\mathcal{E}_s^{-1})^{k'l}a^m_{lk''}(s)$, we can prove Property (c) as a consequence of Theorem 3.3 in combination with the estimates for the remainder term as seen in the validity of Properties (a) and (b). □

References

[1] D.J. Aldous, G.K. Eagleson, On mixing and stability of limit theorems, Ann. Probab., 6 (1978) 325-331.

[2] A. Bain, D. Crisan, Fundamentals of Stochastic Filtering, Springer, 2009.

[3] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999.

[4] D. Clément, A. Kohatsu-Higa, D. Lamberton, A duality approach for the weak approximation of stochastic differential equations, Ann. Appl. Probab. 16 (2006) 1124-1154.

[5] M. Fukasawa, Realized volatility with stochastic sampling, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 120 (2010) 829-852.

[6] E. Gobet, Local asymptotic mixed normality property for elliptic diffusion: a Malliavin calculus approach, Bernoulli, 7 (2001) 899-912.

[7] J. Jacod, On continuous conditional Gaussian martingales and stable convergence in law, Seminaire de Probabilites, XXXI, Lecture Notes in Math., 1655, Springer, Berlin (1997) 232-246.

[8] J. Jacod, Y. Li, P. Mykland, P. Podolskij, M. Vetter, Microstructure noise in the continuous case: The pre-averaging approach, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 119 (2009) 2249-2276.

[9] J. Jacod, P. Protter, Asymptotic error distributions for the Euler method for stochastic differential equations, Annals of Probability, 26 (1998) 267-307.

[10] J. Jacod, A. Shiryaev, Limit Theorems for Stochastics Processes. 2nd edition, Springer, 2003.

[11] P.E. Kloeden, E. Platen, Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations, Springer, Heidelberg, 1992.

[12] H. Kunita, Nonlinear filtering problems I: Bayes formulas and innovations, The Oxford Handbook of Nonlinear Filtering, Oxford University Press, 2011, 19-54.

[13] R.S. Liptser, A.N. Shiryaev, Statistics of random processes I. General theory. 2nd edition, Springer, 2001.

[14] G.N. Milstein, M.V. Tretyakov, Monte Carlo methods for backward equations in nonlinear filtering, Adv. in Appl. Probab. 41 (2009) 63-100.

[15] T. Ogihara, N. Yoshida, Quasi-likelihood analysis for nonsynchronously observed diffusion processes, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 119 (2009) 2249-2276.

[16] J. Picard, Approximation of nonlinear filtering problems and order of convergence, Filtering and Control of Random Processes (Lecture Notes Control Inform. Sci. 61), Springer, Berlin (1984) 219-236.

[17] D. Talay, Efficient numerical schemes for the approximation of expectations of functionals of the solution of a SDE and applications, Filtering and Control of Random Processes (Lecture Notes Control Inform. Sci. 61), Springer, Berlin (1984) 294-313.